

LOS ANGELES CA 90025

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. R 42390.P4624 BHATIA 08/902,371 07/29/97 **EXAMINER** MMC2/0320 LEA EDMONDS,L BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 12400 WILSHIRE BLVD 7TH FL

DATE MAILED:

2835

03/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/902,371

Applicant(s)

Rakesh Bhatia

Examiner

Lisa S. Lea-Edmonds

Group Art Unit 2835



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) Expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the rejection.	Action, whichever added the date of the final
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the ap date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	the nurnaces of
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	(or within any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 29, 2000</u> has been considered with the following but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	lowing effect,
X The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below	<i>'</i>).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing o issues for appeal.	
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected cla	aims.
NOTE: Applicant should call The examiner to clarify	The Usues
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>Applicant's first and second after final amendments will overcome the final rejection/objection of when submitted in combination.</u>	the claims only
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	submitted in a
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the appliance because:	lication in condition
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we the Examiner in the final rejection.	ere newly raised by
X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if an	ny):
Claims allowed:	and state to the state of the s
Claims objected to: 15, 28, and 30	
Claims rejected: <u>12-14, 16-22, 25-27, and 29</u>	
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ☐ has ☐ has not been approved b	y the Examiner.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
Other Leo P. Picard Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800	